

Vollständige Literatur zu Uwe Schütz: Moderne bildgebende Diagnostik der Arthrose im Allgemeinen und der großen Gelenke der unteren Extremität

OUP 07/08-2018

Literatur

1. Altman R, Alarcón G, Appelrouth D et al.: The American College of Rheumatology criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis of the hip. *Arthritis Rheum* 1991; 34: 505–514
2. Altman RD, Hochberg M, Murphy WA Jr et al.: Atlas of individual radiographic features in osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 1995; 3 Suppl A: 3–70
3. Anderson AF, Irrgang JJ, Kocher MS et al.: The International Knee Documentation Committee Subjective Knee Evaluation Form: normative data. *Am. J. Sports Med* 2006; 34: 128–135
4. Bachmann G, Heinrichs C, Jürgensen I et al.: [Comparison of different MRT techniques in the diagnosis of degenerative cartilage diseases. In vitro study of 50 joint specimens of the knee at T1.5]. *Röfo*. 1997; 166: 429–436
5. Bachmann GF, Basad E, Rauber K, Damian MS, Rau WS: Degenerative joint disease on MRI and physical activity: a clinical study of the knee joint in 320 patients. *Eur Radiol*. 1999; 9: 145–152
6. Beck M, Leunig M, Parvizi J et al.: Anterior femoroacetabular impingement: part II. Midterm results of surgical treatment. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2004; 418: 67–73
7. Bittersohl B, Hosalkar HS, Hesper T et al.: Advanced imaging in femoroacetabular impingement: Current state and future prospects. *Front Surg* 2015; 2: 34
8. Blackburn WD Jr, Bernreuter WK, Rominger M, Loose LL: Arthroscopic evaluation of knee articular cartilage: a comparison with plain radiographs and magnetic resonance imaging. *J Rheumatol*. 1994; 21: 675–679
9. Boegård T, Rudling O, Petersson IF et al.: Correlation between radiographically diagnosed osteophytes and magnetic resonance detected cartilage defects in the tibiofemoral joint. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 1998; 57: 401–407
10. Bogunovic L, Gottlieb M, Pashos G, Bacca G, Clohisy JC: Why do hip arthroscopy procedures fail? *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2013; 471: 2523–2529
11. Braunschweig R, Tiemann AHH: Bildgebung: Was wirklich nötig ist. OUP 2017; 12: 602–607 DOI 10.3238/oup.2017.0602–0607
12. Brian J. Cole, M. Mike Malek (ed.): Articular cartilage lesions. New York: Springer, c2004
13. Brittberg M, Winalski CS: Evaluation of cartilage injuries and repair. *J. Bone Joint Surg. Am.* 2003; 85-A Suppl 2: 58–69
14. Broderick LS, Turner DA, Renfrew DL, Schnitzer TJ, Huff JP, Harris C: Severity of articular cartilage abnormality in patients with osteoarthritis: evaluation with fast spin-echo MR vs arthroscopy. *Am J Roentgenol*. 1994; 162: 99–103
15. Bruns J, Steinhagen J: [Lesions of articular cartilage and osteoarthritis – Biological background]. *Dtsch Zeitschr f Sportmed*. 2000; 2: 42–47
16. Bruyere O, Dardenne C, Lejeune E et al.: Subchondral tibial bone mineral density predicts future joint space narrowing at the medial femoro-tibial compartment in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Bone* 2003; 32: 541–545
17. Burr DB: The importance of subchondral bone in the progression of osteoarthritis. *J Rheumatol*. 2004; 31: 77–8
18. Burstein D, Velyvis J, Scott KT et al.: Protocol issues for delayed Gd (DTPA)(2-)enhanced MRI (dGEMRIC) for clinical evaluation of articular cartilage. *Magn Reson Med* 2001; 45: 36–41
19. Cameron ML, Briggs KK, Steadman JR: Reproducibility and reliability of the Outerbridge classification for grading chondral lesions of the knee arthroscopically. *Am J Sports Med* 2003; 31: 83–86
20. Carballido-Gamio et al.: Feasibility and reproducibility of relaxometry, morphometric, and geometrical measurements of the hip joint with magnetic resonance imaging at 3T. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2008; 28: 227–235
21. Clohisy JC, Carlisle JC, Beaulé PE et al.: A systematic approach to the plain radiographic evaluation of the young adult hip. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2008; 90 Suppl 4: 47–66
22. Disler DG, McCauley TR, Kelman CG: Fat-suppressed three-dimensional spoiled gradient-echo MR imaging of hyaline cartilage defects in the knee: comparison with standard MR imaging and arthroscopy. *Am J Roentgenol*. 1996; 167: 127–132
23. Domb BG, Gui C, Lodhia P: How much arthritis is too much for hip arthroscopy: a systematic review. *Arthroscopy* 2015; 31: 520–529
24. Drapé JL, Pessis E, Auleley GR et al.: Quantitative MR imaging evaluation of chondropathy in osteoarthritic knees. *Radiology*. 1998; 208: 49–55
25. Dunn TC, Lu Y, Jin H, Ries MD, Majumdar S: T2 relaxation time of cartilage at MR imaging: comparison with severity of knee osteoarthritis. *Radiology*. 2004 Aug; 232: 592–8
26. Eckstein F, Hudelmaier M, Wirth W et al.: Double echo steady state magnetic resonance imaging of knee articular cartilage at 3 Tesla: a pilot study for the Osteoarthritis Initiative. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2006; 65: 433–441
27. Fairbank TJ: Knee joint changes after meniscectomy. *J. Bone Joint Surg. Br.* 1948; 30B: 664–670
28. Falah M, Nierenberg G, Soudry M et al.: Treatment of articular cartilage lesions of the knee. *Int Orthop*. 2010; 34: 621–630
29. Field RE, Rajakulendran K: The labro-acetabular complex. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2011; 93 Suppl 2: 22–7
30. Fontana A, de Girolamo L: Sustained five-year benefit of autologous matrix-induced chondrogenesis for femoral acetabular impingement-induced chondral lesions compared with microfracture treatment. *Bone Joint J* 2015; 97-b: 628–635
31. Friermert B, Oberlander Y, Schwarz W: Diagnosis of chondral lesions of the knee joint: can MRI replace arthroscopy? A prospective study. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2004; 12: 58–64
32. Gaissmaier C, Fritz J, Mollenhauer JA: [Outcome of clinically overt cartilage injuries without and with biological reconstruction]. *Dtsch Ärztebl*. 2003; 100: 2448–2453
33. Gold GE, Reeder SB, Yu H: Articular cartilage of the knee: rapid three-dimensional MR imaging at 3.0 T with IDEAL balanced steady-state free precession—initial experience. *Radiology*. 2006; 240: 546–551
34. Hackenbroch MH: Arthrosen: Basiswissen zu Klinik, Diagnostik und Therapie. Stuttgart: Thieme Verlag, 2002
35. Haviv B, Singh PJ, Takla A, O'Donnell J: Arthroscopic femoral osteochondroplasty for cam lesions with isolated ace-

- tabular chondral damage. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2010; 92: 629–633
36. Hayes CW, Jamadar DA, Welch GW et al.: Osteoarthritis of the knee: comparison of MR imaging findings with radiographic severity measurements and pain in middle-aged women. *Radiology*. 2005; 237: 998–1007
37. Horisberger M, Brunner A, Herzog RF: Arthroscopic treatment of femoral acetabular impingement in patients with preoperative generalized degenerative changes. *Arthroscopy*. 2010; 26: 623–629
38. Huda W, Nickoloff EL, Boone JM: Overview of patient dosimetry in diagnostic radiology in the USA for the past 50 years. *Med Phys* 2008; 35: 5713–5728.
39. Huétink K, Nelissen RG, Watt I, van Erkel AR, Bloem JL: Localized development of knee osteoarthritis can be predicted from MR imaging findings a decade earlier. *Radiology*. 2010; 256: 536–546
40. Hunter DJ, Lo GH, Gale D et al.: The reliability of a new scoring system for knee osteoarthritis MRI and the validity of bone marrow lesion assessment: BLOKS. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* 2008; 67: 206–211
41. Imhof H, Czerny C, Gahleitner A et al.: Koxarthrose. *Radiologe* 2002; 42: 416–431
42. Imhof H, Nöbauer-Huhmann I, Trattning S: Koxarthrose – ein Update. *Radiologe* 2009; 49: 400–409
43. Insall JN, Dorr LD, Scott RD et al.: Rationale of the Knee Society clinical rating system. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*, 1989; 13–14
44. Ito K, Minka MA 2nd, Leunig M, Werlen S, Ganz R: Femoroacetabular impingement and the cam-effect. A MRI-based quantitative anatomical study of the femoral head-neck offset. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2001; 83: 171–6
45. Jäger M, Wirth CJ: Praxis der Orthopädie. Stuttgart, New York: Thieme, 1986
46. Jaroma A, Suomalainen JS, Niemittukia L, Soininvaara T, Salo J, Kröger H: Imaging of symptomatic total knee arthroplasty with cone beam computed tomography. *Acta Radiol*. 2018; Jan 1: 284185118762247. doi: 10.1177/0284185118762247.
47. Jerosch J: Akuter Gelenkinfekt. Orthopäde 2004; 33, 1309–1320
48. Jungius KP, Schmid MR, Zanetti M, Hodler J, Koch P, Pfirrmann CW: Cartilaginous defects of the femorotibial joint: accuracy of coronal short inversion time inversion-recovery MR sequence. *Radiology*. 2006; 240: 482–488
49. Katthagen BD, Zahedi AR: Indikationen und klinische Anwendung der 3-fachen Beckenosteotomie nach Tönnis/Kalchschmidt. OUP 2015; 02: 072–075
50. Kawahara Y, Uetani M, Nakahara N: Fast spin-echo MR of the articular cartilage in the osteoarthrotic knee. Correlation of MR and arthroscopic findings. *Acta Radiol*. 1998; 39: 120–125
51. Kellgren JH, Lawrence JS: Radiological assessment of osteo-arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 1957; 16: 494–502
52. Keppler P, Strecker W, Kinzl L: Analysis of leg geometry—standard techniques and normal values]. *Chirurg*. 1998; 69: 1141–1152
53. Kijowski R, Blankenbaker DG, Davis KW et al.: Comparison of 1.5- and 3.0-T MR imaging for evaluating the articular cartilage of the knee joint. *Radiology*. 2009; 250: 839–848
54. Kijowski R, Blankenbaker DG, Woods MA et al.: 3.0-T evaluation of knee cartilage by using three-dimensional IDEAL GRASS imaging: comparison with fast spin-echo imaging. *Radiology*. 2010; 255: 117–127
55. Kijowski R, Davis KW, Woods MA et al.: Knee joint: Comprehensive assessment with 3D isotropic resolution fast spin-echo MR imaging – Diagnostic performance compared with that of conventional MR imaging at 3.0 T. *Radiology*. 2009; 252: 486–495
56. Kijowski R: Clinical cartilage imaging of the knee and hip joints. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2010; 195: 618–628
57. Kornaat PR, Bloem JL, Ceulemans RY et al.: Osteoarthritis of the knee: association between clinical features and MR imaging findings. *Radiology*. 2006; 239: 811–817
58. Kornaat PR, Bloem JL, Ceulemans RY: Osteoarthritis of the knee: association between clinical features and MR imaging findings. *Radiology*. 2006; 239: 811–817
59. Krampf W, Roesel M, Svoboda K et al.: MRI of the knee: how do field strength and radiologist's experience influence diagnostic accuracy and interobserver correlation in assessing chondral and meniscal lesions and the integrity of the anterior cruciate ligament? *Eur. Radiol*. 2009; 19: 1519–1528
60. Kwee RM, Hafezi-Nejad N, Roemer FW et al: Association of Mucoid Degeneration of the Anterior Cruciate Ligament at MR Imaging with Medial Tibiofemoral Osteoarthritis Progression at Radiography: Data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. *Radiology*. 2018; doi: 10.1148/radiol.2018171565. [Epub ahead of print]
61. Lanyon P, O'Reilly S, Jones A et al.: Radiographic assessment of symptomatic knee osteoarthritis in the community: definitions and normal joint space. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1998; 57: 595–601
62. Larson CM, Giveans MR, Taylor M: Does arthroscopic FAI correction improve function with radiographic arthritis? *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2011; 469: 1667–1676
63. Lattanzi R, Petchprapa C, Ascani D et al.: Detection of cartilage damage in femoroacetabular impingement with standardized dGEMRIC at 3 T. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2014; 22: 447–456
64. Liess C, Lüsse S, Karger N et al.: Detection of changes in cartilage water content using MRI T2-mapping in vivo. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2002; 10: 907–913
65. Link TM, Steinbach LS, Ghosh S et al.: Osteoarthritis: MR imaging findings in different stages of disease and correlation with clinical findings. *Radiology*. 2003; 226: 373–381
66. Link TM, Steinbach LS, Ghosh S et al.: Osteoarthritis: MR imaging findings in different stages of disease and correlation with clinical findings. *Radiology*. 2003; 226: 373–381
67. Link TM: MR imaging in osteoarthritis: hardware, coils, and sequences. *Radiol Clin North Am*. 2009; 47: 617–632
68. Llopis E, Fernandez E, Cerezal L: MR and CT arthrography of the hip. *Semin Musculoskelet Radiol* 2012; 16: 42–56
69. Llopis et al.: Direct MR arthrography of the hip with leg traction: feasibility for assessing articular cartilage. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2008; 190: 1124–1128
70. Mamisch TC, Werlen S, Zilkens C et al.: Radiologische Diagnose des femoroacetabulären Impingements. *Radiologe* 2009; 49: 425–433
71. Masi JN, Sell CA, Phan C et al.: Cartilage MR imaging at 3.0 versus that at 1.5 T: preliminary results in a porcine model. *Radiology*. 2005; 236: 140–150
72. Mathieu L, Bouchard A, Marchaland JP: Knee MR-arthrography in assessment of meniscal and chondral lesions. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res*. 2009; 95: 40–47
73. Matikka H, Virén T: Radiation dose reduction in cone-beam computed tomography of extremities: evaluation of a novel radiation shield. *J Radiol Prot*. 2014; 34: N57–63
74. McCarthy JC, Jarrett BT, Ojeifo O, Lee JA, Bragdon CR: What factors influence long-term survivorship after hip arthroscopy? *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2011; 469: 362–371
75. McCormick F, Nwachukwu BU, Alpaugh K, Martin SD: Predictors of hip arthroscopy outcomes for labral tears at minimum 2-year follow-up: the influence of age and arthritis. *Arthroscopy* 2012; 28: 1359–1364
76. McNicholas MJ, Brooksbank AJ, Walker CM: Observer agreement analysis of MRI grading of knee osteoarthritis. *R Coll Surg Edinb*. 1999; 44: 31–33
77. Mosher TJ, Smith H, Dardzinski BJ et al.: MR imaging and T2 mapping of femoral cartilage: in vivo determination of the magic angle effect. *Am J Roentgenol* 2001; 177: 665–669

78. Mosher TJ: MRI of osteochondral injuries of the knee and ankle in the athlete. *Clin Sports Med.* 2006; 25: 843–66
79. Muraoka T, Hagino H, Okano T et al.: Role of subchondral bone in osteoarthritis development: a comparative study of two strains of guinea pigs with and without spontaneously occurring osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2007; 56: 3366–3374
80. Naal FD, Impellizzeri FM, Sieverding M et al.: The 12-item Oxford Knee Score: cross-cultural adaptation into German and assessment of its psychometric properties in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2009; 17: 49–52
81. Nakanishi et al.: MR evaluation of the articular cartilage of the femoral head during traction. Correlation with resected femoral head. *Acta Radiol* 1999; 40: 60–63
82. Nikolaou VS, Chronopoulos E, Savvidou C: MRI efficacy in diagnosing internal lesions of the knee: a retrospective analysis. *J Trauma Manag Outcomes*. 2008; 2: 4
83. Nishii et al.: Articular cartilage evaluation in osteoarthritis of the hip with MR imaging under continuous leg traction. *Magn Reson Imaging* 1998; 16: 871–875
84. Nishii T, Tanaka H, Sugano N et al.: Evaluation of cartilage matrix disorders by T2 relaxation time in patients with hip dysplasia. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2008; 16: 227–233
85. Outerbridge RE: The etiology of chondromalacia patellae. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1961; 43-B: 752–757
86. Outerbridge RE: Further studies on the etiology of chondromalacia patellae. *J. Bone Joint Surg.Br.* 1964; 46: 179–190
87. Pagenstert GI, Bachmann M: Klinische Untersuchung bei patellofemoralen Problemen. *Orthopädie*. 2008; 37: 890–903
88. Pan J, Pialat JB, Joseph T et al.: Knee cartilage T2 characteristics and evolution in relation to morphologic abnormalities detected at 3-T MR imaging: a longitudinal study of the normal control cohort from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. *Radiology*. 2011; 261: 507–515
89. Pastoreau, PC, Chomel AC, Bonnet J: Evidence of early subchondral bone changes in the menisectomized guinea pig (a densitometric study using dual X-ray absorptiometry subregional analysis). *Osteoarthritis Cart* 1999; 7: 466–473
90. Petchprapa CN, Recht MP: Imaging of chondral lesions including femoroacetabular impingement. *Semin Musculoskeletal Radiol* 2013; 17: 258–271
91. Peterfy CG, Guermazi A, Zaim S et al.: Whole-Organ Magnetic Resonance Imaging Score (WORMS) of the knee in osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2004; 12: 177–190
92. Pfirrmann CW, Mengardi B, Dora C et al.: Cam and pincer femoroacetabular impingement: characteristic MR arthrographic findings in 50 patients. *Radiology* 2006; 240: 778–785
93. Philippon MJ, Briggs KK, Yen YM, Kuppersmith DA: Outcomes following hip arthroscopy for femoroacetabular impingement with associated chondrolabral dysfunction: minimum two-year followup. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2009; 91: 16–23
94. Potter HG, Linklater JM, Allen AA, Hannafin JA, Haas SB: Magnetic resonance imaging of articular cartilage in the knee: an evaluation with use of fast-spin-echo imaging. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1998; 80: 1276–1284
95. Quatman CE, Hettrich CM, Schmitt LC et al.: The Clinical Utility and Diagnostic Performance of MRI for Identification of Early and Advanced Knee Osteoarthritis: A Systematic Review. *Am J Sports Med.* 2011; 39: 1557–1568
96. Rächle M, Cemerka M, Eibenberger B et al.: Arthrose – Update 2012. *Radiologie* 2012; 52: 149–155
97. Rehnitz C, Weber MA: Morphologische und funktionelle Knorpeldiagnostik. *Orthopäde* 2015; 44: 317–336
98. Roemer FW, Eckstein F, Guermazi A: Magnetic resonance imaging-based semiquantitative and quantitative assessment in osteoarthritis. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am.* 2009; 35: 521–55
99. Roos EM, Roos HP, Lohmander LS: WOMAC Osteoarthritis Index – additional dimensions for use in subjects with post-traumatic osteoarthritis of the knee. Western Ontario and MacMaster Universities. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*, 1999; 7: 216–221
100. Roos EM, Roos HP, Ekdahl C et al.: Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)-validation of a Swedish version. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*, 1998; 8: 439–48
101. Rosenberg TD, Paulos LE, Parker RD et al.: The forty-five degree posteroanterior flexion weight-bearing radiograph of the knee. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1988; 70A: 1479–1483
102. S2k-Leitlinie Gonarthrose, Stand 18.01.2018. AWMF Registernummer: 033–004. Federführende Fachgesellschaft: Deutsche Gesellschaft für Orthopädie und Orthopädische Chirurgie (DGOOC), Deutsche Gesellschaft für Orthopädie und Unfallchirurgie (DGOU).
103. Sankar WN, Matheney TH, Zaltz I: Femoroacetabular impingement: current concepts and controversies. *Orthop Clin North Am* 2013; 44: 575–589
104. Saris DB, Dhert WJ, Verbout AJ: Joint homeostasis. The discrepancy between old and fresh defects in cartilage repair. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2003; 85: 1067–1076
105. Schaefer FK, Kurz B, Schaefer PJ: Accuracy and precision in the detection of articular cartilage lesions using magnetic resonance imaging at 1.5 Tesla in an in vitro study with orthopedic and histopathologic correlation. *Acta Radiol*. 2007; 48: 1131–1137
106. Scheidt-Nave C, Kamtsiuris P, Gosswald A et al.: German health interview and examination survey for adults (DEGS) – design, objectives and implementation of the first data collection wave. *BMC Public Health*, 2012; 12: 730
107. Schmid MR, Pfirrmann CW, Koch P et al.: Imaging of patellar cartilage with a 2D multiple-echo data image combination sequence. *Am. J. Roentgenol.* 2005; 184: 1744–1748
108. Schmitt F, Grosu D, Mohr C et al.: [3 Tesla MRI: successful results with higher field strengths]. *Radiologe*. 2004; 44: 31–48
109. Schröder RJ, Fischbach F, Unterhauser FN et al.: [Value of various MR sequences using 1.5 and 3.0 Tesla in analyzing cartilaginous defects of the patella in an animal model]. *Rofo*. 2004; 176: 1667–1675
110. Schütz UH, Billlich C, Schoss D, Beer M, Ellermann J: MRI Cartilage Assessment of the Subtalar and Midtarsal Joints During a Transcontinental Ultramarathon – New Insights into Human Locomotion. *Int J Sports Med.* 2018; 39: 37–49
111. Schütz UH, Ellermann J, Schoss D, Wiedelbach H, Beer M, Billlich C: Biochemical cartilage alteration and unexpected signal recovery in T2* mapping observed in ankle joints with mobile MRI during a transcontinental multi-stage footrace over 4486 km. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2014; 22: 1840–1850
112. Schütz UH, Schmidt-Trucksäss A et al.: The Transeurope Footrace Project: Longitudinal data acquisition in a cluster randomized mobile MRI observational cohort study on 44 endurance runners at a 64-stage 4,486km transcontinental ultramarathon. *BMC Med.* 2012; 10: 78
113. Schütz UH: Komplikationen bei der bildgebenden Diagnostik. In: Wirth CJ, Mutschler W, Bischoff HP, Püschmann H, Neu J (Hrsg.): *Komplikationen in Orthopädie und Traumatologie. Vermeiden, erkennen, behandeln*. Stuttgart: Georg Thieme Verlag, 2010: 72–81
114. Seitlinger G, Scheurecker G, Höglér R, Kramer J, Hofmann S: Bildgebende Diagnostik des Patellofemoralgelenks. *Arthroskopie* 2010; 23: 176–83
115. Sharma L, Kapoor D: Epidemiology of Osteoarthritis 8. In: Moskowitz RW et al. (Hrsg.): *Osteoarthritis. Diagnosis, Medical/Surgical Management*. 4th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2007: 3–26
116. Skendzel JG, Philippon MJ, Briggs KK, Goljan P: The effect of joint space on

- midterm outcomes after arthroscopic hip surgery for femoroacetabular impingement. Am J Sports Med 2014; 42: 1127–1133
- 117.Sonin AH, Pensy RA, Mulligan ME, Hattem S: Grading articular cartilage of the knee using fast spin-echo proton density-weighted MR imaging without fat suppression. Am J Roentgenol. 2002; 179: 1159–1166
- 118.Spahn G, Schiltzenwolf M, Hartmann B et al.: [The time-related risk for knee osteoarthritis after ACL injury. Results from a systematic review]. Orthopade 2016; 45: 81–90
- 119.Spahn G, Stojanowic I, Biehl M, Klemm HT, Hofmann GO: Klassifikation von Knorpelschäden und Arthrose. OUP 2016; 9: 509–514
- 120.Steppacher SD, Tannast M, Siebenrock KA: Labrumläsionen des Hüftgelenks. Orthopädie und Unfallchirurgie up2date 2008; 3: 215–232
- 121.Suh JS, Lee SH, Jeong EK et al.: Magnetic resonance imaging of articular cartilage. Eur Radiol. 2001; 11: 2015–2025
- 122.Sutter R, Zanetti M, Pfirrmann CW: New developments in hip imaging. Radiology 2012; 264: 651–667
- 123.Sutter R, Zubler V, Hoffmann A et al.: Hip MRI: how useful is intraarticular contrast material for evaluating surgically proven lesions of the labrum and articular cartilage? Am J Roentgenol 2014; 202: 160–169
- 124.Tesch C: Fokussierte Sonografie in Orthopädie und Unfallchirurgie. Symptom-orientierte Sonografie zum raschen Erkenntnisgewinn. OUP 2018; 1: 005–009
- 125.Thorey F: Grenzen der erhaltenden Knorpel- und Arthrosetherapie am Hüftgelenk. OUP 2016; 9: 646–650
- 126.Tibor LM, Leunig M. The pathoanatomy and arthroscopic management of femoroacetabular impingement. Bone Joint Res 2012; 1: 245–257
- 127.Tiderius CJ, Tjornstrand J, Akeson P et al.: Delayed gadolinium-enhanced MRI of cartilage (dGEMRIC): intra- and interobserver variability in standardized drawing of regions of interest. Acta Radiol. 2004; 45: 628–634
- 128.Tiemann AHH: Muskuloskelettale Infektionen: Handlungsleitfaden für Diagnostik und Therapie. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2016
- 129.Turunen MJ, Töyräs J, Kokkonen HT, Jurvelin JS: Extremity cone-beam CT for evaluation of medial tibiofemoral osteoarthritis: Initial experience in imaging of the weight-bearing and non-weight-bearing knee. Eur J Radiol. 2015; 84: 2564–2570
- 130.Turunen MJ, Töyräs J, Kokkonen HT, Jurvelin JS: Quantitative evaluation of knee subchondral bone mineral density using cone beam computed tomography. IEEE Trans Med Imaging. 2015; 34: 2186–2190
- 131.Vallotton JA, Meuli RA, Leyvraz PF et al.: Comparison between magnetic resonance imaging and arthroscopy in the diagnosis of patellar cartilage lesions: a prospective study. Knee. Surg. Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 1995; 3: 157–162
- 132.von Engelhardt LV, Jerosch J: Bildgebende Diagnostik der Arthrose im klinischen Alltag. OUP 2015; 05: 252–257
- 133.von Engelhardt LV, Kraft CN, Pennekamp PH et al.: The evaluation of articular cartilage lesions of the knee with a 3-Tesla magnet. Arthroscopy. 2007; 23: 496–502
- 134.von Engelhardt LV, Lahner M, Klussmann A: Arthroscopy vs. MRI for a detailed assessment of cartilage disease in osteoarthritis: diagnostic value of MRI in clinical practice. BMC Musculoskeletal Disord. 2010; 11: 75
- 135.von Engelhardt LV, Raddatz M, Haage P, Bouillon B, David A, Lichtinger TK: Is MRI reliable for diagnostics chondral and osteochondral lesions in patients with acute lateral patella dislocation? BMC Musculoskeletal Disord. 2010; 11: 149
- 136.von Engelhardt LV, Schmitz A, Burian B: [3-Tesla MRI vs. arthroscopy for diagnostics of degenerative knee cartilage diseases: preliminary clinical results]. Orthopäde. 2008; 37: 916–922
- 137.Wagner D: Patellofemorales Schmerzsyndrom. OUP 2017; 6: 301–305
- 138.Weber MA, Eggermann M, Thierjung H et al.: Moderne radiologische postoperative Diagnostik des Hüftgelenks im Kindes- und Erwachsenenalter. Fortschr Röntgenstr 2015; 187: 525–542
- 139.Weber MA, Merle C, Rehnitz C, Gottermann T: Modern Radiological Imaging of Osteoarthritis of The Hip Joint With Consideration of Predisposing Conditions. Rofo. 2016; 188: 635–651
- 140.Weber MA, Rehnitz C, Ott H et al.: Leitschmerz beim Sportler. Fortschr Röntgenstr 2013; 185: 1139–1148
- 141.Wettstein et al.: Direct MR arthrography of the hip with leg traction: feasibility for assessing articular cartilage. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2008; 191: W206
- 142.Wong S, Steinbach L, Zhao J, Stehling C, Ma CB, Link TM: Comparative study of imaging at 3.0 T versus 1.5 T of the knee. Skeletal Radiol. 2009; 38: 761–769
- 143.Wrixon AD: New ICRP recommendations. J Radiol Prot 2008; 28: 161–168
- 144.Yamamoto S, Watanabe A, Nakamura J et al.: Quantitative T2 mapping of femoral head cartilage in systemic lupus erythematosus patients with noncollapsed osteonecrosis of the femoral head associated with corticosteroid therapy. J Magn Reson Imaging 2011; 34: 1151–1158
- 145.Yoshioka H, Stevens K, Genovese M, Dillingham MF, Lang P: Articular cartilage of knee: normal patterns at MR imaging that mimic disease in healthy subjects and patients with osteoarthritis. Radiology. 2004; 231: 31–38
- 146.Yoshioka H, Stevens K, Hargreaves BA: Magnetic resonance imaging of articular cartilage of the knee: comparison between fat-suppressed three-dimensional SPGR imaging, fat-suppressed FSE imaging, and fat-suppressed three-dimensional DEFT imaging, and correlation with arthroscopy. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2004; 20: 857–864
- 147.Zacher J, Gursche A: Diagnostik der Arthrose. Orthopäde 2001; 30: 841–847
- 148.Zilkens C, Jäger M, Bittersohl B et al.: Delayed Gadolinium Enhanced MRI of Cartilage (dGEMRIC) – Molekulare MRT-Bildgebung des Hüftgelenkknorpels. Orthopäde 2009; 38: 591–599